arXiv:1703.08900v1 [cs.IT] 27 Mar 2017

Some new bounds of placement delivery arrays

X. Niu and H. Cao *
Institue of Mathematics,
Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210023, China
caohaitao@njnu.edu.cn

Abstract

Coded caching scheme is a technique which reduce the load during peak traffic times in a wireless network system. Placement delivery array (PDA in short) was first introduced by Yan et al.. It can be used to design coded caching scheme. In this paper, we prove some lower bounds of PDA on the element and some lower bounds of PDA on the column. We also give some constructions for optimal PDA.

Key words: Coded caching scheme, placement delivery array, optimal

1 Introduction

Video delivery are becoming the main factor for the wireless data traffic in the daily life. With the video on demand and catch-up TV increasing, the wireless network is predicted to increase dramatically from 2016 to 2021 [1]. Therefore, communication systems are always slowdown during the peak times. Caching system is an effective way to reduce the network slowdown in the peak times, see [2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11].

Maddah-Ali and Niesen propose a centralized coded caching scheme which can effectively reduce network slowdown during the peak times. It use of network coding theory to caches some contents in the off-peak times. In a coded caching system, there is a server with N files, and each of K users has a cache which is size M and proactively cache F, $F \leq M$. denoted by (K, F, M, N) caching system. There are two distinct phases in a caching system:

• Placement phase during off-peak times: Parts of content are placed in users cache memories.

• Delivery phase during peak times: Requested content is delivered by exploiting the local cache storage of users.

Clearly, it is carried out without the knowledge of the particular user requests in placement phase. And our goal is to minimize the rate of transmission over the shared link since the delivery

*Research supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11571179, the natural science foundation of Jiangsu Province under Grant No. BK20131393, and the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institution. E-mail: caohaitao@njnu.edu.cn

phase takes place during peak traffic period. This rate is also called the*delivery rate*. Maddah-Ali and Niesen proposed a deterministic coded caching scheme that utilizes an uncoded combinatorial cache construction in the placement phase and a linear network code in the delivery phase, where users store contents in a coordinated manner in [8]. For any size F, designing a coded caching scheme with the minimum delivery rate will be a critical issue. By investigating placement phase and delivery phase from a combinatorial viewpoint, a new concept of placement delivery array was introduced to characterize the involved strategies in two phases in [16]. As a result, the problem of designing centralized coded caching scheme can be transformed into the problem of designing a placement delivery array. There are some definitions and results of placement delivery array in the following.

We use $[a, b]$ to denote $\{a, a+1, ..., b\}$ for intervals of integers for any integers a and b. If $a < b$, then we have $[a, b] = \emptyset$. Let $[a, b) = [a, b-1]$, and let K, F, S be positive integers in this paper.

Definition 1.1 *A (K, F, S) placement delivery array ($(K, F, S)-PDA$ in short) is an $F \times K$ array P over $[0, S) \cup \{*\}$, if the following two properties are satisfied:*

1. *each integer occurs at most once in each row and in each column of P;*
2. *whenever two distinct cells (a, b) and (c, d) are occupied by the same integer, the opposite corners (a, d) and (c, b) contain $*$.*

Furthermore, for any positive integer $Z \leq F$, P is denoted by $(K, F, Z, S)-PDA$ if the symbol $*$ appears Z times in each column.

An *optimal* $(K, F, Z, S)-$PDA is a PDA which has the maximum possible K when F, Z, S are given or has the minimum possible S when K, F, Z are given.

Theorem 1.1 *([4])*

1. *For $Z = 1, F-1$, an optimal $(K, F, Z, S)-PDA$ exists.*
2. *For $Z = F-2$, $h = \lceil \frac{2K}{F} \rceil$, $\lceil \frac{2K}{h+1} \rceil (h+1) \leq hF$ and $(h+1) \mid F$, there exists an optimal $(K, F, Z, S)-PDA$ except both h and $\frac{F}{h+1}$ are odd.*
3. *For $Z = F-2$, $h = \lceil \frac{2K}{F} \rceil$, $\lceil \frac{2K}{h+1} \rceil (h+1) > hF$ and $(h+2) \mid F$, there exists an optimal $(K, F, Z, S)-PDA$.*

Theorem 1.2 *([8]) A (K, M, N) caching system can obtain a $(\binom{F}{t}, F, \binom{F}{t-1}, \binom{F}{t+1})-PDA$ with $t = \frac{KM}{N}$.*

Theorem 1.3 *For positive integers m, a, b satisfying $a + b \leq m$, there exists an $(\binom{m}{a}, \binom{m}{b}, \binom{m}{b} - \binom{m-a}{b}, \binom{m}{a+b})-PDA$.*

Theorem 1.4 *For positive integers m, a, b, c with $0 < a < m$, $0 < b < m$, $0 \le c \le min\{a, b\}$, there exists a $(K, F, Z, S)-PDA$ with $K = \binom{m}{a}, F = \binom{m}{b}, Z = \binom{m}{b} - \binom{m-a}{b-c}\binom{a}{c})$ $S = \binom{m}{a+b-2c} \times min\{\binom{m-a-b+2c}{c}, \binom{a+b-2c}{a-c}\}$.*

Theorem 1.5 *Let K, F, Z, S be positive integers and $F \ge Z$. If P_1 is a $(K, F, Z, S)-PDA$, and if P_2 is a array obtained from P_1 by permuting the rows and/or columns and/or elements, then P_2 is also a $(K, F, Z, S)-PDA$.*

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we shall give some lower bounds of PDA on S. In Section 3 we will give some properties of PDA and prove some cases for optimal PDA. In Section 4 we present a construction for PDA, and use a recursive method to get a lower bound of PDA on K. Section 5 consists of some concluding remarks and directions for future research.

2 Lower bounds for S

Let $S_{(F,F-Z,K)} = min\{S : \text{ there is a } (K, F, Z, S) - PDA\}$.

Suppose F, K, Z, and i are positive integers. Define $f_{(K,F,Z)}(0) = \lceil \frac{K \cdot (F-Z)}{F} \rceil$, and

$$f_{(K,F,Z)}(i) = \lceil \lceil \lceil \lceil \lceil \frac{K \cdot (F-Z)}{F} \rceil \frac{F-Z-1}{F-1} \rceil \ldots \rceil \frac{F-Z-i+1}{F-i+1} \rceil \frac{F-Z-i}{F-i} \rceil, \ 1 \le i \le F-Z-1.$$

We have the first lower bound of PDA on S in the following.

Theorem 2.1 *If there exists a $(K, F, Z, S)-PDA$, then $S \ge \sum_{i=0}^{F-Z-1} f_{(K,F,Z)}(i)$.*

Proof: Let P be a $(K, F, Z, S)-$PDA. Form the definition of PDA, there are $(F-Z)K$ cells appearing integers over $[0, S)$. By the pigeon hole principle and Theorem 1.5, we have that the first row has at least $\lceil \frac{(F-Z)K}{F} \rceil$ cells appearing distinct integers as follow.

$$P = \left(\begin{array}{c:c} x_1 \ x_2 \ \cdots \ x_{r_1} & * \ \cdots \ * \\ \hdashline P_1 & \end{array} \right)$$

Thus, we have $r_1 \ge \lceil \frac{(F-Z)K}{F} \rceil = f_{(K,F,Z)}(0)$. Let $M_0 = \{x_i : 1 \le i \le r_1\}$. So $M_0 \subset [0, S)$. Now, we obtain P_1 form P by permuting the columns from $r_1 + 1$ to K and the first row.

It is obvious that the symbol $*$ appears Z times in each column of P_1. So P_1 is a $(r_1, F-1, Z, S_1)-$PDA where $S_1 = \mid \{x : \text{the integer } x \text{ appears in } P_1\} \mid$. By the definition of PDA, we have $M_0 \cap \{x : \text{the integer } x \text{ appears in } P_1\} = \emptyset$.

Similar the step above, we have P_i, M_{i-1}, and $\mid M_{i-1} \mid \ge f_{(K,F,Z)}(i-1)$, $2 \le i \le F-Z$. By the definition of PDA, we have $M_i \cap M_j = \emptyset$ for $0 \le i < j \le F-Z-1$. Thus, we have $S \ge \sum_{i=0}^{F-Z-1} \mid M_1 \mid \ge \sum_{i=0}^{F-Z-1} f_{(K,F,Z)}(i)$. ∎

Corollary 2.2 *If there exists a* $(K, F, F-2, S)-PDA$, *then* $S \geq \lceil \frac{2K}{F} \rceil + \lceil \frac{1}{F-1} \lceil \frac{2K}{F} \rceil \rceil$.

Theorem 2.3 *If there exists a* $(K, F, Z, S)-PDA$, *then* $S \geq S_{(F-\lceil \frac{(F-Z)\cdot K}{S} \rceil, F-Z-1, \lceil \frac{(F-Z)\cdot K}{S} \rceil)} + 1$.

Proof: Let P be a $(K, F, Z, S)-$PDA. By definition of PDA, there are $(F-Z)K$ cells appearing integers over $[0, S)$. By the pigeon hole principle, there exists an element x which appears at least $\lceil \frac{(F-Z)K}{S} \rceil$ times. Let P_1 obtain from P by deleting the row which contains element x, and the column which does not contain the element x. It is obvious that P_1 is a $(\lceil \frac{(F-Z)\cdot K}{S} \rceil, F - \lceil \frac{(F-Z)\cdot K}{S} \rceil, Z + 1 - \lceil \frac{(F-Z)\cdot K}{S} \rceil, S_1)-$PDA, and $S_1 \subset S$ by the definition of PDA. Thus, $S \geq S_{(F-\lceil \frac{(F-Z)\cdot K}{S} \rceil, F-Z-1, \lceil \frac{(F-Z)\cdot K}{S} \rceil)} + 1$. ∎

3 Optimal PDAs

Let $K_{(F,F-Z,S)} = max\{K : \text{ there is a } (K, F, Z, S) - PDA\}$.

It is obvious that we have a upper bound of PDA.

Theorem 3.1 $K_{(F,F-Z,S)} \leq \frac{(Z+1)S}{F-Z}$.

Proof: By definition of $(K, F, Z, S)-$PDA, any an element appears at most $Z+1$ times, so we have $K_{(F,F-Z,S)} \leq \frac{(Z+1)S}{F-Z}$. If $S = \binom{F}{F-Z-1}$, then we have $K_{(F,F-Z,S)} = \frac{(Z+1)S}{F-Z}$. ∎

Theorem 3.2 *If there exists a* $(K, F, Z, S)-PDA$, *then there is a* $(K, S, S-F+Z, F)-PDA$. *Furthermore,* $K_{(F,F-Z,S)} = K_{(S,F-Z,F)}$.

Proof: Let array P be a $(K, F, Z, S)-$PDA. Then $P = (p_{ij})$ and $i \in [1, F], j \in [1, K], p_{ij} \in [1, S] \cup \{*\}$. We denote an array $A = (a_{ij})_{S \times K}$ as following

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } p_{kj} = i, \\ * & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now, we only to show that A is a $(K, S, S-F+Z, F)-$PDA. If $a(i_1, j_1) = a(i_2, j_2) = k$, then $p(k, j_1) = i_1$ and $p(k, j_2) = i_2$, so $i_1 \neq i_2$, $j_1 \neq j_2$ and $a(i_1, j_2) = a(i_2, j_1) = *$. Otherwise, assume $a(i_1, j_2) = k_1$, then $p(k_1, j_2) = i_1$, a contradiction. Thus, A is a $(K, S, S-F+Z, F)-$PDA.

Thus, $K_{(F,F-Z,S)} \leq K_{(S,F-Z,F)}$ and $K_{(S,F-Z,F)} \leq K_{(F,F-Z,S)}$.

So we have $K_{(F,F-Z,S)} = K_{(S,F-Z,F)}$. ∎

We can use the similar method to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3 *If there exists a* $(K, F, S)-PDA$, *then there exists a* $(a, b, c)-PDA$ *with* $\{a, b, c\} = \{K, F, S\}$.

Now, we will consider the $S \geq F$ case in this paper.

Lemma 3.4 $K_{(F,F-Z,S_1+S_2)} \geq K_{(F,F-Z,S_1)} + K_{(F,F-Z,S_2)}$.

Proof: To prove the lemma, we use the construction. Let P_1 be a $(K_{(F,F-Z,S_1)}, F, Z, S_1)-$PDA appearing integers over $[0, S_1)$, and P_2 be a $(K_{(F,F-Z,S_2)}, F, Z, S_2)-$PDA appearing integers over $[S_1, S_1 + S_2)$. Let P be an array as follow.

$$P = \left(\begin{array}{c:c} P_1 & P_2 \end{array} \right)$$

It is easy to check that each integer occurs at most once in each row and in each column of P, and if two distinct cells (a, b) and (c, d) are occupied by the same integer, then the two cells are contained in the same array $P_i, 1 \leq i \leq 2$. So the opposite corners (a, d) and (c, b) contain $*$, by the definition. Thus, P is a $(K_{(F,F-Z,S_1)} + K_{(F,F-Z,S_2)}, F, Z, S_1 + S_2)-$PDA.

So we have $K_{(F,F-Z,S_1+S_2)} \geq K_{(F,F-Z,S_1)} + K_{(F,F-Z,S_2)}$. □

By Theorem 1.2, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5 $K_{(F,F-Z,m\binom{F}{Z+1})} = m \cdot K_{(F,F-Z,\binom{F}{Z+1})} = m\binom{F}{Z}, m \geq 1$.

Proof: Let P_0 be a $(\binom{F}{Z}, F, Z, \binom{F}{Z+1})-$PDA. It is obvious that P_0 is optimal, otherwise, suppose that there exists a $(\binom{F}{Z}+1, F, Z, \binom{F}{Z+1})-$PDA. By the pigeon hole principle, there exists an element x which appears at least $\lceil \frac{(\binom{F}{Z}+1)(F-Z)}{\binom{F}{Z+1}} \rceil = Z + 2$ times in array P_0. By the definition of PDA, the element x appear at least $Z+2$ rows, so the column which contains the element x, at least contains $Z + 1$ $*$. It contradicts with the definition. We obtain an array $P_i, 1 \leq i \leq m-1$ from P_0 by permuting the element j to $i\binom{F}{Z+1} + j$, $0 \leq j < \binom{F}{Z+1}$, then we have the arrays $P_1, P_2, \cdots, P_{m-1}$. By Theorem 1.5, the arrays $P_1, P_2, \cdots, P_{m-1}$ are $(\binom{F}{Z}, F, Z, \binom{F}{Z+1})-$PDA. We construct the array P as follow.

$$P = \left(\begin{array}{c:c:c:c:c} P_0 & P_1 & P_2 & \cdots & P_{m-1} \end{array} \right)$$

It is easy to check that P is a $(m\binom{F}{Z}, F, Z, m\binom{F}{Z+1})-$PDA. Thus, $K_{(F,F-Z,m\binom{F}{Z+1})} \geq m \cdot K_{(F,F-Z,\binom{F}{Z+1})} = m\binom{F}{Z}, m \geq 1$.

To prove $K_{(F,F-Z,m\binom{F}{Z+1})} \leq m \cdot K_{(F,F-Z,\binom{F}{Z+1})} = m\binom{F}{Z}, m \geq 1$, we assume that there exists a $(m\binom{F}{Z} + 1, F, Z, m\binom{F}{Z+1})-$PDA and get a contradiction.

Assume that P is a $(m\binom{F}{Z} + 1, F, Z, m\binom{F}{Z+1})-$PDA. From the definition of PDA, there are $(F - Z)(m\binom{F}{Z} + 1)$ cells appearing integers over $[0, m\binom{F}{Z+1})$. By the pigeon hole principle, there exists an element y which appears at least $\lceil \frac{(m\binom{F}{Z}+1)(F-Z)}{m\binom{F}{Z+1}} \rceil = Z + 2$ times in array P. By the definition of PDA, the element y appears at least $Z + 2$ rows, so the column which contains the element y, at least contains $Z + 1$ $*$. It contradicts with the define.

Thus, $K_{(F,F-Z,m\binom{F}{Z+1})} = m \cdot K_{(F,F-Z,\binom{F}{Z+1})} = m\binom{F}{Z}, m \geq 1$. □

Lemma 3.6 *$K_{(2,2,S)} = \frac{S-1}{2} + \frac{d-1}{2}$, where $d = gcd(2, S)$.*

Proof: By Lemma 3.4, we have $K_{(2,2,S)} \geq \frac{S-1}{2} + \frac{d-1}{2}$, where $d = gcd(2, S)$. Assume that $K_{(2,2,S)} = \frac{S-1}{2} + \frac{d-1}{2} + 1 = \frac{S+d}{2}$. Let P be a $(\frac{S+d}{2}, 2, 0, S)-$PDA. By the pigeon hole principle, there exists an element x which appears at least $\lceil \frac{S+d}{S} \rceil = 2$ times in array P, a contradiction. □

From the properties of PDA, we give a problem as follow.

Problem 3.7 *Let $d = gcd(F, S)$, prove $K_{(F,2,S)} = \frac{(F-1)(S-1)}{2} + \frac{d-1}{2}$.*

There are some cases that the Problem 3.7 is right.

Theorem 3.8 *Let m_1, m_2, F be positive integers. Then $K_{(F,2,m_1 \cdot F+r)} = m_1 \cdot K_{(F,2,F)} + m_2 \cdot K_{(r,2,r)}$, if $F = r \cdot m_2$.*

Proof: By Theorems 3.2 and 3.5, Lemma 3.4, we have $K_{(F,2,m_1 \cdot F+r)} \geq m_1 \cdot K_{(F,2,F)} + m_2 \cdot K_{(r,2,r)} = \frac{m_1 F(F-1)+m_2 r(r-1)}{2}$.

Suppose that there exists an array P which is a $(\frac{m_1 F(F-1)+m_2 r(r-1)}{2}+1, F, F-2, m_1 F+r)-$PDA, then there are $m_1 F(F-1)+m_2 r(r-1)+2$ cells appearing integer over $[0, m_1 F+r)$. By the pigeon hole principle, there exists a row l which appears at least $\lceil \frac{m_1 F(F-1)+m_2 r(r-1)+2}{F} \rceil = m_1(F-1)+r$ elements. So the array P contains at least $\lceil \frac{m_1(F-1)+r}{F-1} \rceil = m_1 + 1$ distinct elements which are different to the elements in row l. Thus, $m_1 F + r \geq m_1(F-1) + r + m_1 + 1 = m_1 F + r + 1$, a contradiction. Thus, $K_{(F,2,m_1 \cdot F+r)} = m_1 \cdot K_{(F,2,F)} + m_2 \cdot K_{(r,2,r)}$, if $F = r \cdot m_2$. □

Theorem 3.9 *Let $F \geq 2$ be positive integers. Then*

1. *$K_{(F,2,mF+1)} = mK_{(F,2,F)}$;*
2. *$K_{(F,2,mF+2)} = mK_{(F,2,F)} + K_{(F,2,2)}$.*

Proof: 1. By Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 3.5, we have $K_{(F,2,m \cdot F+1)} \geq m \cdot K_{(F,2,F)} = \frac{mF(F-1)}{2}$.

Suppose that there exists an array P which is a $(\frac{mF(F-1)}{2} + 1, F, F-2, mF+1)-$PDA, then there are $mF(F-1)+2$ cells appearing integer over $[0, mF+1)$. By the pigeon hole principle, there exists a row l which appears at least $\lceil \frac{mF(F-1)+2}{F} \rceil = m(F-1)+1$ elements. So the array P contain at least $\lceil \frac{m(F-1)+1}{F-1} \rceil = m+1$ distinct elements which are different to the elements in row l. So, $mF+1 \geq m(F-1)+1+m+1 = mF+2$, a contradiction. Thus, $K_{(F,2,m \cdot F+1)} = m \cdot K_{(F,2,F)}$.

2. By Theorems 3.2, 3.5 and Lemmas 3.4, 3.6, we have $K_{(F,2,m \cdot F+2)} \geq m \cdot K_{(F,2,F)} + K_{(2,2,F)} = \frac{mF(F-1)}{2} + \lfloor \frac{F}{2} \rfloor$.

Suppose that there exists an array P which is a $(\frac{mF(F-1)}{2} + \lfloor \frac{F}{2} \rfloor + 1, F, F-2, mF+2)-$PDA, then there are at least $mF(F-1)+F+1$ cells appearing integer over $[0, mF+2)$. By the pigeon

hole principle, there exists a row l which appears at least $\lceil \frac{mF(F-1)+F+1}{F} \rceil = m(F-1)+2$ elements. So the array P contains at least $\lceil \frac{m(F-1)+2}{F-1} \rceil = m+1$ distinct elements which are different to the elements in row l. So, $mF+2 \geq m(F-1)+2+m+1 = mF+3$, a contradiction. Thus, $K_{(F,2,m\cdot F+2)} = m \cdot K_{(F,2,F)} + K_{(2,2,F)}$. □

Theorem 3.10 *Let $F \geq 4$ be positive integers. Then*

1. $K_{(F,2,mF+F-2)} = mK_{(F,2,F)} + K_{(F-2,2,F-2)} + K_{(2,2,F-2)}$;
2. $K_{(F,2,mF+F-1)} = mK_{(F,2,F)} + K_{(F-1,2,F-1)}$.

Proof: 1. By Theorems 3.2, 3.5 and Lemma 3.4, we have $K_{(F,2,mF+F-2)} \geq mK_{(F,2,F)} + K_{(F-2,2,F-2)} + K_{(2,2,F-2)} = \frac{mF(F-1)+(F-2)(F-3)}{2} + \lfloor \frac{F-2}{2} \rfloor$.

Suppose that there exists a $(\frac{mF(F-1)+(F-2)(F-3)}{2} + \lfloor \frac{F-2}{2} \rfloor + 1, F, F-2, mF+F-2)-$PDA. By Theorem 4.3, we have $mF+F-2 \geq \lceil \frac{mF(F-1)+(F-2)(F-3)+F+1)+(2-F)(mF+F-2)}{F} \rceil F = mF+F$, a contradiction. Thus, $K_{(F,2,m\cdot F+F-2)} = m \cdot K_{(F,2,F)} + K_{(F-2,2,F-2)} + K_{(2,2,F-2)}$.

2. By Theorems 3.2, 3.5 and Lemma 3.4, we have $K_{(F,2,m\cdot F+F-1)} \geq m \cdot K_{(F,2,F)} + K_{(F-1,2,F-1)} = \frac{mF(F-1)}{2} + \frac{(F-1)(F-2)}{2} = \frac{mF(F-1)+(F-1)(F-2)}{2}$.

Suppose that there exists a $(\frac{mF(F-1)+(F-1)(F-2)}{2} + 1, F, F-2, mF+F-1)-$PDA. By Theorem 4.3, we have $mF+F-1 \geq \lceil \frac{mF(F-1)+(F-1)(F-2)+2)+(2-F)(mF+F-1)}{F} \rceil F = mF+F$, a contradiction. Thus, $K_{(F,2,m\cdot F+F-1)} = m \cdot K_{(F,2,F)} + K_{(F-1,2,F-1)}$. □

Theorem 3.11 $K_{(F,2,S)} = \frac{(F-1)(S-1)}{2} + \frac{d-1}{2}$, *where $F \in \{2,3,4,5,6\}$ and $d = gcd(F,S)$.*

Proof: It is obvious that the theorem hold by Theorems 3.8, 3.9 and 3.10. □

In order to prove Problem 3.7, we only need to consider the following problem.

Problem 3.12 *Let r be an positive integer. For $F \geq 7$ and $3 \leq r \leq F-3$, prove $K_{(F,2,F+r)} = K_{(F,2,F)} + K_{(F,2,r)}$.*

4 Lower bounds for K

In this section, let $F \geq 7$ and $S = mF + r$, $3 \leq r \leq F-3$, $m \geq 1$ be positive integers, and let $d = gcd(F,S)$. Thus, $d = gcd(F,r)$.

Let $d(x)$ be the element x appearing times in the PDA.

Let $A_x = \{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq F, \textit{the element } x \textit{ does not appear in the } i\textit{-th row}\}$.

If $d(x) = F-1$, then $\mid A_x \mid = 1$.

Lemma 4.1 $K_{(F,2,S)} \geq \frac{(F-1)\cdot(S-1)}{2} + \frac{d-1}{2}$, *where* $d = gcd(F,S)$.

Proof: We use induction on F to prove this lemma.

1. By Lemma 3.6, the case $F = 2$ holds.

2. Suppose that for $F < k, k \geq 3$, we have $K_{(F,2,S)} \geq \frac{(F-1)\cdot(S-1)}{2} + \frac{d-1}{2}$.

3. Let $F = k$, and $S = mF + r, 1 \leq r \leq F$. Since $d = gcd(F,S)$, then we have $d = gcd(F,r)$. By Theorems 3.2, 3.5 and Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_{(F,2,S)} &\geq K_{(F,2,mF)} + K_{(F,2,r)} \\ &= \frac{mF(F-1)}{2} + K_{(r,2,F)} \\ &\geq \frac{mF(F-1)}{2} + \frac{(r-1)\cdot(F-1)}{2} + \frac{d-1}{2} \\ &= \frac{(mF+r-1)(F-1)}{2} + \frac{d-1}{2} \\ &= \frac{(F-1)\cdot(S-1)}{2} + \frac{d-1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.2 *If there exists a* $(K_{(F,2,S)}, F, F-2, S)-PDA$, *then each element appear at most* $\lceil \frac{2K_{(F,2,S)}}{S} \rceil = F-1$ *times. Furthermore, there are at least* $S-(F-d)$ *elements which appear* $F-1$ *times, where* $d = gcd(F,S)$.

Proof: By Lemma 4.1, we have $K_{(F,2,S)} \geq \frac{(F-1)(S-1)+d-1}{2}$ where $d = gcd(F,S)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } \lceil \tfrac{2K_{(F,2,S)}}{S} \rceil &\geq \lceil \tfrac{(F-1)(S-1)+d-1}{S} \rceil \\ &= \lceil \tfrac{(F-1)S-F+d}{S} \rceil \\ &= \lceil \tfrac{(F-2)S+S-F+d}{S} \rceil \\ &= \lceil F-2+\tfrac{S-F+d}{S} \rceil \\ &= F-1. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of PDA, we have an element appear at most $F-1$ times. Thus, there are at least $S-(F-d)$ elements which appear $F-1$ times. □

Theorem 4.3 *If there exists a* $(K_{(F,2,S)}, F, F-2, S)-PDA$, *then*

$$S \geq \lceil \frac{2K_{(F,2,S)} + 2S - SF}{F} \rceil F.$$

Proof: Let P be a $(K_{(F,2,S)}, F, F-2, S)-$PDA. From the definition of PDA, we have

$$2K_{(F,2,S)} = \sum_{x\in[0,S)} d(x) = \sum_{\substack{x\in[0,S) \\ d(x)\geq\lceil\frac{2K_{(F,2,S)}}{S}\rceil}} d(x) + \sum_{\substack{x\in[0,S) \\ d(x)<\lceil\frac{2K_{(F,2,S)}}{S}\rceil}} d(x)$$

$$\leq \sum_{\substack{x\in[0,S)\\ d(x)=F-1}} (F-1) + \sum_{\substack{x\in[0,S)\\ d(x)<F-1}} (F-2).$$

So $\sum_{\substack{x\in[0,S)\\ d(x)=F-1}} 1 \geq 2K_{(F,2,S)} - S(F-2)$.

Since $d(x) = F-1$, then there has only one row which not contains the element x in array P. By the pigeon hole principle, there exists a row l which not appears at least $\lceil \frac{2K_{(F,2,S)}+2S-SF}{F} \rceil$ elements in array P. So there are at least $\lceil \frac{2K_{(F,2,S)}+2S-SF}{F} \rceil (F-1)$ distinct elements in the row l. Thus, $S \geq \lceil \frac{2K_{(F,2,S)}+2S-SF}{F} \rceil F$. □

Lemma 4.4 *If there exists a $(K_{(F,2,S)}, F, F-2, S)-PDA$, and let $S = mF + r$ and $d = gcd(F, S)$, then each row contains at most $\lceil \frac{2K_{(F,2,S)}}{F} \rceil = S - (m+1)$ element. Furthermore, there are at least $F - r + d$ rows which contain $S - (m+1)$ elements.*

Proof: By Lemmas 3.4 and 4.1, we have $K_{(F,2,S)} \geq K_{(F,2,mF)} + K_{(F,2,r)} \geq \frac{mF(F-1)+(F-1)(r-1)+d-1}{2}$.

So $\lceil \frac{2K_{(F,2,S)}}{F} \rceil \geq \lceil \frac{(F-1)(mF+r-1)+d-1}{F} \rceil$

$= m(F-1) + \lceil \frac{(F-1)(r-1)+d-1}{F} \rceil$

$= m(F-1) + \lceil \frac{F(r-2)+F-r+d}{F} \rceil$

$= m(F-1) + (r-2) + \lceil \frac{F-r+d}{F} \rceil$

$= m(F-1) + (r-2) + 1$

$= mF + r - m - 1$

$= S - (m+1)$.

Suppose that there exists one row which contain $S - m$ elements. Without loss of generality, we can assume the first row satisfying the hypothesis. By Corollary 2.2, we have $S \geq S - m + \lceil \frac{mF+r-F}{F-1} \rceil = S - m + m + 1 = S + 1$, a contradiction. So each row contain at most $S - (m+1)$ elements. Thus, there are at least $F - r + d$ rows where each row contains $S - (m+1)$ elements. □

Lemma 4.5 *If there exists a $(K_{(F,2,S)}, F, F-2, S)-PDA$ with $m > F - r - d$, then there exist F elements $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_F$ with $d(x_i) = F-1, 1 \leq i \leq F$ such that $A_{x_i} = \{i\}$.*

Proof: Assume that $|\bigcup_{\substack{x\in[0,S)\\ d(x)=F-1}} A_x| \leq F-1$. By Lemma 4.4, there are at least $F - r + d$ rows which contain $S - (m+1)$ elements.

By Lemma 4.2, there are at least $mF + r - (F-d)$ elements which repeat appearing $F-1$ times. By the pigeon hole principle, $\lceil \frac{mF+r-(F-d)}{F} \rceil = m - 1 + \lceil \frac{r+d}{F} \rceil = m$. If there exists a row which does not contain $m+1$ elements appearing $F-1$ times, then this row contains at least

$(m+1)(F-1)$ distinct elements. So $S \geq (m+1)(F-1)+m+1 = S+(F-r)$, a contradiction. Thus, there are at least $r+d$ rows which not contain m elements which appear $F-1$ times. Since $F-r+d+(r+d) = F+2d$, then we have at least $2d$ rows which contain $S-(m+1)$ elements and does not contain m elements appearing $F-1$ times. By Theorem 1.5, the first row satisfies the two properties and contains $S-(m+1)$ elements in the first $S-(m+1)$ columns. So the first $S-(m+1)$ columns contain S distinct elements.

Since $|\bigcup_{\substack{x\in[0,S)\\ d(x)=F-1}} A_x| \leq F-1$, then there exists a row not appearing $\lceil \frac{mF+r-(F-d)-m}{F-2} \rceil = \lceil m + \frac{m-F+r+d}{F-2} \rceil = m+1$ elements which repeat appearing $F-1$, a contradiction. Thus, we have F elements $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_F$ with $d(x_i) = F-1, 1 \leq i \leq F$ such that $A_{x_i} = \{i\}$. □

Lemma 4.6 *Let P be a $(K_{(F,2,S)}, F, F-2, S)-$PDA with $m > F-r-d$. Then there exists a subarray of a $(K_{(F,2,S)}, F, F-2, S)-$PDA as following,*

$$P_1 = \left(\begin{array}{ccccc|c|c|c|c|c|c} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_{F-2} & x_{F-1} & \overbrace{\cdots}^{F-1} & \overbrace{\cdots}^{F-1} & \cdots & \overbrace{\cdots}^{F-1} & \overbrace{\cdots}^{r-1} & \overbrace{\ast\ast\cdots\ast}^{K_{(F,2,S)}-S+m+1} \\ x_F & \ast & \cdots & \ast & \ast & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \overbrace{\cdots}^{K_{(F,2,S)}-S+m+1} \\ \ast & x_F & \cdots & \ast & \ast & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \ast & \ast & \cdots & x_F & \ast & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \ast & \ast & \cdots & \ast & x_F & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \end{array} \right)_{F \times K_{(F,2,S)}}$$

and $d(x_i) = F-1, 1 \leq i \leq F$.

Proof: By Theorem 1.5 and Lemma 4.2, we have a subarray

$$P_2 = \left(\begin{array}{ccccc|c|c|c|c|c|c} 1 & 2 & \cdots & F-2 & F-1 & \overbrace{\cdots}^{F-1} & \overbrace{\cdots}^{F-1} & \cdots & \overbrace{\cdots}^{F-1} & \overbrace{\cdots}^{r-1} & \overbrace{\ast\ast\cdots\ast}^{K_{(F,2,S)}-S+m+1} \\ F & \ast & \cdots & \ast & \ast & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \overbrace{\cdots}^{K_{(F,2,S)}-S+m+1} \\ \ast & F & \cdots & \ast & \ast & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \ast & \ast & \cdots & F & \ast & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \ast & \ast & \cdots & \ast & F & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \end{array} \right)_{F \times K_{(F,2,S)}}$$

where $d(F) = F-1$.

If $d(i) = F-1, 1 \leq i \leq F-1$, then we let $x_i = i$. So we obtain the subarray P_1; If $d(i) < F-1, 1 \leq i \leq F-1$, then there exists an elements x such that $A_x = \{i+1\}$, then $d(x) = F-1$, by Lemma 4.5. So the first row contains the element x and let $p_{1,l} = x$. Since $d(x) = F-1$, then $p_{k,l} = *$ where $k \neq 1$ and $k \neq i+1$, by the definition of PDA. we obtain an array P_1' from P_1 by letting $p_{1,i} = x$ and $p_{1,l} = i$. Now, we show that P_1' satisfies the definition of PDA. Since P_1 is a PDA, then we have that P_1' satisfies the property 1, and if $p_{a,b} = p_{c,d} \notin \{i, x\}$, then the opposite corners $p_{a,d} = p_{c,b} = *$, and if $p_{a,b} = p_{c,d} = i$ or x and $1 \neq \{a, c\}$, then the opposite corners $p_{a,d} = p_{c,b} = *$.

If $p_{1,i} = p_{a,b} = x$, then $p_{a,i} = p_{1,b} = *$, otherwise $p_{a,i} \neq *$ or $p_{1,b} \neq *$. Thus, $p_{a,i} \neq *$ or $p_{1,b} \neq *$ in array P_1. In the array P_1, $p_{a,i} = *$, if $p_{1,b} \neq *$, then it contradicts with the $p_{a,b} = p_{1,l} = x$;

If $p_{1,l} = p_{c,d} = i$, then $p_{c,l} = p_{1,d} = *$, otherwise $p_{1,d} \neq *$. Thus, $p_{1,d} \neq *$ in array P_1. In the array P_1, if $p_{1,d} \neq *$, then it contradicts with the $p_{c,d} = p_{1,i} = x$;

Thus, P_1' satisfies the definition of PDA. So we obtain the array P_1 from P_1' by letting $x_j = p_{1,j}, 1 \leq j \leq F-1$ and $x_F = F$. □

Lemma 4.7 *Let P be a $(K_{(F,2,S)}, F, F-2, S)-$PDA with $m > F-r-d$. Then there exists a subarray of a $(K_{(F,2,S)}, F, F-2, S)-$PDA as following,*

$$P_0 = \left(\begin{array}{ccccc:ccccc:ccccc:cc} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_{F-2} & x_{F-1} & * & * & \cdots & * & * & \cdots & * & \cdots & * \\ x_F & * & \cdots & * & * & x_2 & x_3 & \cdots & x_{F-1} & * & \cdots & * & \cdots & * \\ * & x_F & \cdots & * & * & x_1 & * & \cdots & * & x_3 & \cdots & x_{F-1} & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & * & x_1 & \cdots & * & x_2 & \cdots & * & \cdots & * \\ * & * & \cdots & x_F & * & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \cdots & x_{F-1} \\ * & * & \cdots & * & x_F & * & * & \cdots & x_1 & * & \cdots & x_2 & \cdots & x_{F-2} \end{array}\right)_{F \times \frac{F(F-1)}{2}}.$$

Proof: By Lemma 4.6, we have an array P_1 which $d(x_i) = F-1, 1 \leq i \leq F$, $p_{1,j} \neq *, 1 \leq j \leq S-(m+1)$ and $p_{1,j} = *, j > S-(m+1)$ as following.

$$P_1 = \left(\begin{array}{ccccc:c:c:c:c:c:c} & & & & & \overbrace{\cdots}^{F-1} & \overbrace{\cdots}^{F-1} & \cdots & \overbrace{\cdots}^{F-1} & \overbrace{\cdots}^{r-1} & \overbrace{*\,*\cdots*}^{K_{(F,2,S)}-S+m+1} \\ x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_{F-2} & x_{F-1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \\ x_F & * & \cdots & * & * & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \\ * & x_F & \cdots & * & * & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\ * & * & \cdots & x_F & * & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \\ * & * & \cdots & * & x_F & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \end{array}\right)_{F \times K_{(F,2,S)}}$$

By the definition of PDA, the columns from F to $S-m-1$ does not contain the elements $x_i, 1 \leq i \leq F-1$. So we obtain an array P_1' from P_1 by deleting the first $S-m-1$ columns. Then there are all $*$ in the first row of P_1'. So we consider the rows from 2 to F, the elements $x_i, 1 \leq i \leq F-1$ appear $F-2$ times. By Lemma 4.6, we obtain a subarray P_2 from P_1', as following,

$$P_2 = \left(\begin{array}{ccccc:c:c} * & * & \cdots & * & * & \overbrace{*\,*\cdots*}^{} & \overbrace{*\,*\cdots*}^{} \\ x_2 & x_3 & \cdots & x_{F-2} & x_{F-1} & \cdots & \overbrace{*\,*\cdots*}^{} \\ x_1 & * & \cdots & * & * & & \\ * & x_1 & \cdots & * & * & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \\ * & * & \cdots & x_1 & * & & \\ * & * & \cdots & * & x_1 & & \end{array}\right)$$

Then repeat the step above, we obtain the array $P_k, 3 \leq k \leq F-1$ as following,

$$P_k = \left(\begin{array}{ccccc:c:c} * & * & \cdots & * & * & \overbrace{*\,*\cdots*}^{} & \overbrace{*\,*\cdots*}^{} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ * & * & \cdots & * & * & \overbrace{*\,*\cdots*}^{} & \overbrace{*\,*\cdots*}^{} \\ x_k & x_{k+1} & \cdots & x_{F-2} & x_{F-1} & \cdots & \overbrace{*\,*\cdots*}^{} \\ x_{k-1} & * & \cdots & * & * & & \\ * & x_{k-1} & \cdots & * & * & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \\ * & * & \cdots & x_{k-1} & * & & \\ * & * & \cdots & * & x_{k-1} & & \end{array}\right)$$

By Theorem 1.5, we can obtain the array P_0 from P. □

Theorem 4.8 $K_{(F,2,mF+r)} = K_{(F,2,F)} + K_{(F,2,(m-1)F+r)} = \frac{F(F-1)}{2} + K_{(F,2,(m-1)F+r)}$ *where* $m > F - r - d$.

Proof: 1. By Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 3.5, we have $K_{(F,2,mF+r)} \geq K_{(F,2,F)} + K_{(F,2,(m-1)F+r)} = \frac{F(F-1)}{2} + K_{(F,2,(m-1)F+r)}$.

2. We will show that $K_{(F,2,mF+r)} \leq K_{(F,2,F)} + K_{(F,2,(m-1)F+r)}$, i.e.$K_{(F,2,(m-1)F+r)} \geq K_{(F,2,mF+r)} - K_{(F,2,F)}$.

Let P be a $(K_{(F,2,mF+r)}, F, F-2, mF+r)-$PDA. By Lemma 4.7, we can obtain two arrays P_1 and P_2 which are $(K_{(F,2,F)}, F, F-2, F)-$PDA and $(K_{(F,2,(m-1)F+r)}, F, F-2, (m-1)F+r)-$PDA from P by choosing $\frac{F(F-1)}{2}$ columns and deleting this $\frac{F(F-1)}{2}$ columns, as follow.

$$P = \left(\begin{array}{c:c} P_1 & P_2 \end{array} \right)$$

Thus, $K_{(F,2,(m-1)F+r)} \geq K_{(F,2,mF+r)} - K_{(F,2,F)}$.

Therefore, we have $K_{(F,2,mF+r)} = K_{(F,2,F)} + K_{(F,2,(m-1)F+r)}$. □

5 Conclusion and problem

In this paper, firstly, we prove two new lower bounds on S, when K, F and Z are given. Secondly, for some parameters of PDA, we show they are optimal PDAs. Lastly, for $Z = F-2$, we give a lower bound and prove that $K_{(F,2,mF+r)} = K_{(F,2,F)} + K_{(F,2,(m-1)F+r)}$ with $3 \leq r \leq F-3$, $d = \gcd(F, r)$ and $m > F - r - d$.

For any m, if we have $K_{(F,2,mF+r)} = K_{(F,2,F)} + K_{(F,2,(m-1)F+r)}$ with $3 \leq r \leq F-3$ and $d = \gcd(F, r)$, then we have optimal PDAs for $Z = F-2$. So we leave them as an open problem.

Open Problem: For $Z = F-2$, $3 \leq r \leq F-3$ and $d = \gcd(F, r)$, prove that $K_{(F,2,mF+r)} = K_{(F,2,F)} + K_{(F,2,(m-1)F+r)}$ with $m \leq F - r - d$.

References

[1] Cisco visual networking index: Global mobile data traffic forecast update, 2016-2021.[online] Available: http://goo.gl/1XYhqY.

[2] M. M. Amiri and D. Gndz, Fundamental limits of caching: Improved delivery rate-cache capacity trade-off, arXiv:1604.03888v1, 2016.

[3] Z. Chen, P. Fan, and K. B. Letaief, Fundamental limits of caching: Improved bounds for small buffer users, arXiv: 1407.1935v2, 2014.

[4] M. Cheng, J. Jiang Q. Yan, X. Tang, and H. Cao, Optimal placement delivery array, arXiv:1611.04213v2, 2016.

[5] M. Cheng, Q. Yan, X. Tang, and J. Jiang, Optimal Placement Delivery Arrays with Minimum Number of Rows, arXiv:1703.01548v1, 2017.

[6] M. Ji, G. Caire, and A. F. Molisch, Fundamental limits of caching in wireless d2d networks, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, **62** (2016), 849-869.

[7] N. Karamchandani, U. Niesen, M. A. Maddah-Ali, and S. N. Diggavi, Hierarchical coded caching, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, **62** (2016), 3212-3229.

[8] M. A. Maddah-Ali and U. Niesen, Fundamental limits of caching, *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory.* **60** (2014), 2856-2867.

[9] U. Niesen and M. A. Maddah-Ali, Coded caching with nonuniform demands, IEEE. 2014, 221-226.

[10] R. Pedarsani, M. A. Maddah-Ali, and U. Niesen, Online coded caching, IEEE/ACM Trans. Netw. 24, 2016, 836-845.

[11] A. Sengupta, R. Tandon, and T. C. Clancy, Improved approximation of storage-rate tradeoff for caching via new outer bounds, in Proc. IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory, Hong Kong, Jun. 2015, 1691-1695.

[12] C. Shangguan, Y. Zhang, and G. Ge, Centralized coded caching schemes: A hypergraph theoretical approach, arXiv:1610.07719, 2016.

[13] C. Tian, A note on the fundamental limits of coded caching, arXiv: 1503.00010v1, 2015.

[14] C. Y. Wang, S. H. Lim, and M. Gastpar, A new converse bound for coded caching, arXiv:1601.05690, 2016.

[15] K. Wan, D. Tuninetti, and P. Piantanida, On caching with more users than files, arXiv: 1601.063834v2, 2016.

[16] Q. Yan, M. Cheng, X. Tang, and Q. Chen, On the placement delivery array design in centralized coded caching scheme, arXiv: 1510.05064v1, 2015.

[17] Q. YanX. TangQ. Chen, and M. Cheng, Placement Delivery Array Design through Strong Edge Coloring of Bipartite Graphs, arXiv:1609.02985v1, 2016.